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ABSTRACT

Sensorized commercial buildings are a rich target for building a new class of applications that improve operational and energy efficiency of building operations that take into account human activities. Such applications, however, rarely experience widespread adoption due to the lack of a common descriptive schema that would enable porting these applications and systems to different buildings. Our demo presents Brick [4], a uniform schema for representing metadata in buildings. Our schema defines a concrete ontology for sensors, subsystems and relationships among them, which enables portable applications. Using a web application, we will demonstrate real buildings that have been mapped to the Brick schema, and show application queries that extracts relevant metadata from these buildings. The attendees would be able to create example buildings and write their own queries.

CCS Concepts

• Information systems → Ontologies; Process control systems;
• Computer systems organization → Sensors and actuators;
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1. INTRODUCTION

As of 2012, 14% of the commercial buildings in the U.S. deployed Building Management System (BMS) [3] to manage data collection and remote actuation of the connected building infrastructure. Innovations in “Internet of Things” (IoT) devices have led to connected lights, power meters, occupancy sensors and appliances that are capable of interfacing with BMSes. New networked devices thus present an opportunity for a building “applications plane” to provide new capabilities to building operators and occupants alike. However, as a platform, even the most modern BMS present a cacophony of data and information flows that vary by buildings, vendors and across locations. The lack of a common data representation prevents interoperability between buildings and limits scalability of applications as developers need to map the heterogeneous data of each building to a common format.

We designed the Brick schema [4] to capture the essential building metadata required by smart building applications. Our design is guided by metadata incorporated in BMSes across a heterogeneous set of buildings and canonical applications published in the literature [6]. In our demo, we demonstrate the efficacy and usability of Brick with a web application that allows attendees to write queries on real buildings that have been mapped to our schema. Our demo will show how the existing buildings have been mapped to Brick, and smart building application queries that work on these buildings without modifications. Here we present a brief summary of the Brick schema, and provide details about the buildings and application queries that will be presented in our demo.

2. BRICK SUMMARY

The Brick schema has three parts: (i) a class hierarchy of entities describing the various building subsystems and their entities; (ii) a minimal and principled set of relationships for connecting these entities into a directed graph describing the structure of the building; (iii) a method of encapsulation in the form of Function Blocks to abstract away the details of complex equipment and control loops.

Brick builds on the concept of tags from Project Haystack [1] and enriches the schema with an underlying ontology that crystallizes the concepts defined by the tags. We introduce the concept of a tagset that groups together relevant tags to represent entities such as a temperature sensor or an HVAC equipment. The concept of tagsets works well with an ontology class hierarchy - a room temperature sensor is a subclass of a generic temperature sensor, and will inherit its properties.

We introduce Point as a class, with subclasses defining specific types of points: Sensor, Setpoint, Command, Status, Alarm. Each point can have several attributes, which we divide into properties and relationships. Properties are attributes like units and data type, while relationships link entities: a sensor is associated with its location, equipment it belongs to, and so on. We define three concepts as high level classes to which a Point can be related to: Location, Equipment and Measurement (Figure 1). Each concept has a class hierarchy to concretely identify each entity in the building. For example, the Equipment class has subclasses HVAC, Lighting and Power, each of which has its own subclasses.

We have designed Brick relationships to be minimal, multipurpose and intuitive so that a user can easily specify them. For ex-
ample, the isPartOf relationship is designed to capture the compositions among the entities in the building – a room isPartOf a floor. The feeds relationship captures the different flows in the building, e.g., the flow of air from AHU to VAV.

Buildings equipment and points are often grouped by multiple logical views such as control view. We use Function Blocks to encapsulate details of such logical groups that expose an interface through named inputs and outputs. These are defined through isInputOf and isOutputOf relations to the particular function block acting as context. Function Blocks may encapsulate other Function Blocks via the isPartOf relation.

Brick adheres to the RDF (Resource Description Framework) data model, which represents knowledge as a graph expressed as tuples of subject-predicate-object known as triples. For example, the collection of triples in Figure 2 gives the representation of the connection of an equipment called VAV to a Temperature Sensor using the hasPoint relation. Applications query the Brick graph for entities and relationships using SPARQL [2]. SPARQL queries specify constraints and patterns of triples, and traverse an underlying RDF graph to return those that match.

### 3. BUILDINGS AND APPLICATIONS

Our demo will showcase six buildings across the US and Europe whose metadata have been mapped to Brick. These buildings vary by age, climatic conditions, equipment installed and their BMS vendors. We effectively map the metadata of these buildings to the Brick schema. To show that applications running on these buildings are indeed portable, we pick eight canonical applications from the literature [6] and demonstrate that their application queries work over all six buildings. Table 1 summarizes the results of our application queries across these buildings.

For example, ZonePAC [5] incorporates monitoring and modeling of HVAC zone behavior and power usage with occupant feedback to provide a platform for occupants to directly contribute to the efficacy and efficiency of a building’s HVAC system. The application requires metadata mapping the HVAC VAV unit with its corresponding rooms and airflow sensors.

Figure 3 shows the ZonePAC query for airflow sensors and rooms for VAVs. The query returns all relevant triples for ZonePAC to bootstrap itself to a new building.

---

**Table 1: Number of matching triples in each building for the SPARQL queries across eight applications.** Entries with ‘-’ indicate lack of relevant points in the building. For more details please refer to [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>EBU3B</th>
<th>GTH</th>
<th>GHC</th>
<th>IBM</th>
<th>Rice</th>
<th>Soda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Apportionment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Displays</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory Feedback</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDD</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NILM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand Response</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. SELECT ?airflow_sensor ?room ?vav
2. WHERE {
   ?airflow_sensor rdf:type rdfs:subClassOf brick:Supply_Air_Flow_Sensor .
   ?vav rdf:type brick:VAV .
   ?room rdf:type brick:Room .
   ?zone rdf:type brick:HVAC_Zone .
   ?room brick:isPartOf ?zone .
   ?airflow_sensor brick:isPointOf ?vav .
}

---

**Figure 3:** ZonePAC query for airflow sensors and rooms for VAVs. The query returns all relevant triples for ZonePAC to bootstrap itself to a new building.